

REPORT ON PROGRESS ON ISSUES RAISED AT NOVEMBER 2017 STAKEHOLDERS' WORKSHOP¹

[illegible]

¹Prepared by Karin Knedler (Advocacy and Policy Manager, 10 October 2018, updated 2 November). Previous progress report included in Advocacy and Policy Coordinator's report to April 2018 Board meeting.

Item	Key Elements Raised at Workshop	Actions / Progress	Comment and Status	Responsibility
Advocacy – adoption of NZ Vertical Datum 2016 <i>continued</i>		<p>Review of Rules for Cadastral Survey (August 2018)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Paper on NZVD2016 prepared and considered by Board in June Working Group established, and TOR developed for S+SNZ and LINZ to partner and deliver pilot presentation in Hawkes Bay for local authorities and workshop for S+SNZ members (held Napier 2-3 October) Report prepared for Board on Hawkes Bay pilot LINZ is developing Implementation Plan S+SNZ continues to liaise with LINZ 	<p>advocating for adoption of NZVD and the extent to which branches may be able to assist (building on the success of the Hawkes Bay pilot)</p> <p>STATUS: Hawkes Bay pilot completed; S+SNZ continuing to liaise with LINZ on NZVD2016 implementation</p>	Council, Board, Branches, National Office
Advocacy –LINZ relationship	<p>1 Should LINZ advocate for the Institute?</p> <p>2 Need workable cadastral rules</p> <p>3 Landonline issues need to be addressed before ASaTS introduced</p> <p>4 Lack of consistency with cadastral surveyors at LINZ</p> <p>5 Kaikoura Workshop – response from the profession</p> <p>6 Professional (non-cadastral) standards</p> <p>7 Stewardship of data standard</p>	<p>1 LINZ cannot advocate for S+SNZ</p> <p>2 In August 2017 LINZ launched the review of <i>Rules for Cadastral Survey 2010</i> and held a series of workshops in the main centres in September 2017. It is not known how many S+SNZ members attended these. The Cadastral Stream made a submission in October 2017 on Stage 1 and LINZ has responded by appointing a technical reference group comprising Matt Ryder and at least four other surveyors. A further submission was made in August 2018 on Stage 2</p> <p>3 National Office has been working with LINZ to seek further input from members/users on issues and to progress solutions through:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> LINZ/Board monthly meetings of S+SNZ CEO and LINZ ASaTS focus meetings Landonline feedback <p>4 On hold as no resources available – matter might be resolved (at least in part) by the new Rules for Cadastral Survey</p> <p>5 Emergency management workshop held at NZIS 2017 conference (but there has been no resource available to progress a national branch capability template that could be used to respond to emergency events such earthquakes)</p> <p>6 On hold as no resource available to progress</p> <p>7 On hold as no resource available to progress</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seek specifics from 2018 Stakeholder Workshop on professional (non-cadastral) standards and stewardship of data standards National branch capability template to be discussed at November 2018 Council meeting 2018 Stakeholder Workshop could be canvassed as to priority Stewardship of data standards could be an advocacy item for the spatial stream to address <p>STATUS: partly completed – outstanding items require input from 2018 Stakeholder Workshop</p>	Council, Board, National Office

Item	Key Elements Raised at Workshop	Actions / Progress	Comment and Status	Responsibility
Advocacy – Data Standards	1 What data standards matter? 2 SCIRT (Stronger Christchurch Infrastructure Rebuild Team) process supported 3 Too many software suppliers and less consistency 4 Find best tool and take it to local government 5 Advocate for common approach by Councils as they currently have different requirements 6 Data storage & TA plan presentation 7 Cost, quality, and open data - advocacy with TAs 8 Lack of accuracy, consistency around engineering standards 9 Specialist area, public good and therefore public agencies should hold that capability 10 Water standards – who captures it? Must be reliable and openly available in digital form	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This item has a large number of issues, some requiring further definition. For example, what are the specific data types? It also needs to be clarified whether the engineering standards issue relates to different councils having different requirements for as built data lodged after completion of project, or whether is it wider than that relating to different manuals (i.e. different requirements and processes) for each council? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seek further information on “data”, “standards” and priorities at 2018 Stakeholder Workshop Suggested steps to consider: Step 1: continue regular meetings with Local Government NZ as a forum to advocate: - a common approach for all council requirements - common standards for data requirements, plan presentation format, storage & stewardship [This will require member input (perhaps a session in conjunction with Nov 18 S+SNZ Stakeholder Workshop & AGM day) to provide examples with attendant (in)efficiency outcomes so that informed representations can be made to LGNZ] Step 2: meetings with key councils Step 3: advocate with all local and territorial authorities The work on data standards could be led by the spatial stream, which could work with SIBA and other spatial bodies to advocate collectively <p>STATUS: not progressed</p>	Council, Board, National Office
Advocacy – NPS Engagement & RM problems	1 Engage on NPS 2 TAs writing rules outside of RMA 3 Varying interpretations of rules, district plans unwieldy 4 Special housing legislation up in the air 5 RMA changes likely to be changed again 6 National Environmental Standards – streamlining of Act did not work 7 Submissions on Urban Development Authority – big inconsistencies between different plans 8 Practical aspects and outcome-based results lost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> S+SNZ made a submission on the NPS Consultation Document (August 2018) S+SNZ is a member of MfE coordinated Quality Planning Partnership and has representatives on the Governance, Content and Website Committees S+SNZ continues to purchase and post Thomson Reuter’s “Your Environment” case notes S+SNZ continues to monitor proposed new legislation and NPSs, and make submissions on proposals affecting surveying and surveyors S+SNZ encouraged members to participate in local and regional planning processes and how they could be put on automatic distribution lists of council activities https://www.surveyspatialnz.org/Attachment?Action=Download&Attachment_id=5134 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> These are not areas where S+SNZ has prime responsibility or significant influence Some items could be progressed as part of engagement with LGNZ but would need further information from members Prioritisation of issues at 2018 Stakeholder Workshop would be helpful, as well as clarifying what action (if any) is required for item no. 8 <p>STATUS: actions within area of influence progressed</p>	Council, Board, National Office

Item	Key Elements Raised at Workshop	Actions / Progress	Comment and Status	Responsibility
Advocacy – Support for local issues	1 Facilitate discussion on local and national issues	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> S+SNZ already does this in many ways by providing a forum in the members' area of the S+SNZ website; through the annual S+SNZ conference programme; consultation and feedback on profession-related matters In March 2018 S+SNZ reinstated two-monthly contact with Branch and Stream Chairs and issues raised have been followed up 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2018 Stakeholder Workshop may wish to identify issues that members particularly want to discuss <p>STATUS: completed (now on-going business as usual activity)</p>	Council, Board, National Office
	2 Standard presentations for local members	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> S+SNZ has prepared a Leaders' Guide to assist branch chairs and developed a new branch reporting template (https://goo.gl/DDRzii) S+SNZ canvasses Branch and Stream Chairs as part of two-monthly contact (see box above) on what may be needed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2018 Stakeholder Workshop may wish to identify further content for Leaders' Guide 2018 Stakeholder Workshop may wish to identify standard presentation topics (e.g. NZVD2016, RPSurv, RMA aspects etc) <p>STATUS: completed (now on-going business as usual activity)</p>	Council, Board, National Office
	3 Branch workshops to thrash out issues	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Branch workshops need to be initiated by the branch. National Office can provide limited assistance. In rarer instances where the issue is a national one (e.g. NZVD2016, cross-lease conversion) then National Office will take a greater supporting role 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2018 Stakeholder Workshop may wish to identify priority issues <p>STATUS: completed (now on-going business as usual activity)</p>	Council, Board, National Office
	4 Talk to NZ Planning Institute regarding implementation of national policy for generic planning issues and local issues to be worked through at local level	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> While S+SNZ can advocate for this with the NZ Planning Institute, most planning issues are governed by legislation administered by MfE 	<p>STATUS: yet to be progressed</p>	Council, Board, National Office
	5 Coordinate submissions with National Office involvement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> S+SNZ made two submissions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> on NPS Consultation Document (August 2018 involving a number of streams) on Stage 2 consultation on the review of the Rules for Cadastral Survey 2010 (August 2018 with Cadastral Stream) on cross lease submission to Environment Court (refer commentary under outstanding issues below) 	<p>STATUS: completed (now on-going business as usual activity)</p>	Streams, National Office
Advocacy – Outstanding issues	1 Gisborne Branch suggestion re letter to TAs about surveyors' skills	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This will be best addressed as part of the marketing plan for roll-out of certification 	<p>STATUS: in abeyance pending certification</p>	National Office
	2 Raising profile of surveyors re control of projects: use Google ad words to promote S+SNZ, have something in councils with S+SNZ logo	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Since the workshop, National Office have recruited staff that are tasked with marketing of S+SNZ and our sector A marketing plan has been prepared S+SNZ has no control over local authority endorsement 	<p>STATUS: completed (now on-going business as usual activity)</p>	National Office

Item	Key Elements Raised at Workshop	Actions / Progress	Comment and Status	Responsibility
Advocacy – Outstanding issues <i>continued</i>	3 Stronger advocacy with NZ Law Society regarding cross leases	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> S+SNZ meets with Law Society regularly (mainly around ASaTS to date) Membership was canvassed on cross-lease conversion issues S+SNZ joined Don McKay's application to the Environment Court for a declaratory judgment that conversion of cross-lease title to fee simple title is not a subdivision in terms of s 218 RMA Environment Court decision and Thomas Gibbons' commentary posted 	<p>STATUS: on-going with both Law Society and follow-up required with Local Government NZ re Judge Kirkpatrick's comments on local authority requirements for cross-lease conversions</p>	Council, Board and National Office
	4 S+SNZ representatives to do roadshow around TAs to streamline and speed up processes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This needs further discussion as, at first glance, a lot of processing times are set by legislation, and a roadshow will not, of itself, effect the changes sought at the 2017 Stakeholder Workshop 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2018 Stakeholder Workshop may wish to identify specific key items that S+SNZ could advocate for that are within councils' powers to change/effect The proposed meetings with councils to inform them of the new certification process would also be an opportunity to raise these issues Auckland Branch is actively involved with Auckland Council – local relationships are key to local issues Common issues could be addressed by National Office <p>STATUS: on-going</p>	Council, Board and National Office
	5 Education – (i) provide info on website for tertiary students re individual pathway streams (ii) more support for women in spatial, e.g. mentoring, more relevant materials, meetings (iii) advocacy on Building Information Management (BIM)	<p>(i) RPSurv process will identify pathways</p> <p>(ii) Is this an issue that is best addressed within those groups as they know best what is required? S+SNZ has signed up as a Foundation Partner of the Diversity Agenda and this will provide useful resources https://diversityagenda.org/. Plan being developed</p> <p>(iii) S+SNZ has advocated on BIM in the past but there has been little resource available in recent times although S+SNZ is a sponsor of the NZ BIM Conference and members receive a 15% discount</p>	<p>STATUS: item (i) in abeyance pending completion of RPSurv certification process</p> <p>STATUS: item (ii) in progress (now on-going business as usual activity)</p> <p>(iii) Need to partner up and continue to advocate at government level. This is an opportunity for streams to provide input, lead and build connections with other professional bodies</p> <p>STATUS: item (iii) in abeyance</p>	<p>(i) S+SNZ Coms</p> <p>(ii) Branches/ streams</p> <p>(iii) Relevant stream representatives with National Office</p>

Item	Key Elements Raised at Workshop	Actions and Progress	Comment and Status	Responsibility
Information for Stakeholders - Communications & PR	<p>1 Varying views on frequency of coms on relevant, timely, targeted and quality themes, some suggesting more is put on the website with members being alerted to new material by email, as above but with fewer emails with links to a collection of new material on the website; targeting of CPD emails to relevant streams</p> <p>2 More balance between coms from CEO, Council and Board</p> <p>3 Revitalise branches with visits from Council members and stream representatives; President to visit branches every two years</p> <p>4 Initiate blogs for smartphones and website for discussion, rather than clogging up email boxes</p> <p>5 NZ Institute of Surveyors – need a name change if spatial is to engage</p> <p>6 Increase coms with councils and TAs</p> <p>7 Increase coms to public</p> <p>8 Missed opportunities to communicate with public - more media visibility e.g. around earthquakes</p>	<p>1-4 Following National Office restructure there has been greater capacity since December 2017 to consider and resource many of the issues raised and they have been included in the Communications Plan</p> <p>2 Member engagement strategy is on agenda for November Council meeting</p> <p>3 Board and Council members have attended a number of branch meetings around the country but arranging visits to smaller branches continues to be a challenge. Council and Board are highly cognisant of this and working to resolve the issue</p> <p>4 Facebook groups have been set up: https://www.facebook.com/pg/surveyspatialnz/groups/</p> <p>5 Vote held, and <i>Survey and Spatial New Zealand</i> has been adopted as the trading name</p> <p>6 Continue relevant engagement strategies; updated Engagement Strategy considered at October 2018 Board meeting</p> <p>7-8 Greater social media capacity should allow support at all levels of interaction. Branches have been encouraged to contribute stories or ideas for media articles to highlight importance and relevance of surveying profession, e.g. Census, earthquakes, Waterview Tunnel, World Hydrography Day, first Global Surveyors' Day</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Big stories may require teaming up with a journalist and/or other people or groups Arrangements are in progress for early 2019 to feature a sector profile on Sky Channel 83 Short update videos could be produced along with good news stories such as our role in Master Builders brand <p>STATUS: completed (now on-going business as usual activity)</p>	Council driven, supported by National Office
Information for Stakeholders - CPD, Training	<p>1 Provinces/regions – want more face-to-face time but acknowledge small numbers make it difficult; webinars are effective technical glitches need to be ironed out</p> <p>2 Make conference more technical with parallel practical sessions, outdoors event</p> <p>3 Urban/rural – travel constraints</p> <p>4 Events need to be sold to employers – create a business case for release of YPs to attend</p> <p>5 Mentoring session for YPs at conference</p> <p>6 YP-themed conference in big centre, specialised YP training events</p>	<p>1 Problems of provinces/regions acknowledged; partly redressed through holding of webinars in 2018; previous technical difficulties with webinars have been addressed by prior testing</p> <p>2 S+SNZ Conference and AGM are now split; National Technical Committee responsible for conference programme and field trips were held in conjunction with 2018 Conference</p> <p>3 Urban/rural travel constraints acknowledged; partly being addressed through webinars</p> <p>4 S+SNZ provides the event information and National Office developed one-pager setting out benefits of YPs attending conference. YPs can use this to tailor their own value case and align to their particular circumstances and personal development plan/employment contract</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CPD has been identified as a high priority but it receives the lowest response to National Office's requests for information on training needs 2018 Stakeholder Workshop may wish to identify specific topics 	Council, Board and Stream Chairs supported by National Office

Item	Key Elements Raised at Workshop	Actions and Progress	Comment and Status	Responsibility
Information for Stakeholders - CPD, Training <i>continued</i>		5 2018 Conference had a YP mentoring session 6 National Office funded and assisted in the organisation of YP Volunteering Toolkit workshop in Dunedin (8 September 2018)	STATUS: completed	Council, Board and Stream Chairs supported by National Office
Information for Stakeholders – Support for Branches	1 Difficulty in getting members, especially YPs, onto committees etc 2 Board/Council/ National Office to visit branches; assign a Board/Council member 3 Run annual get-together of branch leaders to reduce disjointedness 4 Support materials for running meetings etc 5 Add CPD topic to each branch meeting to attract people	1 Best addressed by branches and streams to encourage YPs to participate at committee level 2 Some branch meetings have been attended by Board/Council members. Refer comments under Communications & PR above 3 Branch leaders already have an opportunity to meet at the November Stakeholder Workshop and AGM 4 Support materials (Leaders' Guide) already exist on the S+SNZ website, and have been made more visible by advertising them to branch and stream members following elections 5 Branch chairs are responsible for how they run their meetings and could add such an item	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2018 Stakeholder Workshop may wish to identify strategies for encouraging YPs onto committees Key advocacy items being developed by Board that are considered to be of national interest could be tested at branch level for feedback. STATUS: completed	Council, Board, Branch Chairs supported by National Office